

Weighted linear dynamic logic

Manfred Droste¹ and *George Rahonis*²

¹Leipzig University, Germany

²Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

GandALF 2016

Catania, September 15, 2016

Linear Temporal Logic (*LTL*), Pnueli 1977

- *LTL* = *FO* logic.
- Satisfiability, validity, logical implication of *LTL* formulas: PSPACE-complete.
- *LTL*: reasonable for practical applications.
- *LTL* $\not\subseteq$ finite automata.
- *LTL* $\not\subseteq$ finite automata over infinite words.

- Büchi 1960, Elgot 1961, Trakhtenbrot 1962:
MSO logic = finite automata.
- Büchi 1962: *MSO* logic = finite automata over infinite words.
- *MSO* logic formulas $\xrightarrow{\text{non-elementary}}$ finite automata.
- *MSO* logic: not reasonable for practical applications.

New logic?

- A *logic* combining the complexity properties of reasoning on *LTL* and the expressive equivalence to finite automata was greatly desirable.
- Vardi and Wolper 1994: *ETL* a Temporal logic with Automata Connectives.
- Satisfiability of *ETL*(=*RETL*) formulas is PSPACE-complete.
- Vardi 2000: *ForSpec*, industrial temporal logic used by Intel: *RETL+hardware features (clocks and resets)*.
- 2003 *PSL* an industrial-standard property-specification language: *LTL* extended with dynamic modalities (borrowed from Dynamic Logic), clocks and resets.
- Vardi 2011, De Giacomo and Vardi 2013, 2015: **Linear dynamic logic (LDL)**.
- *LDL* is a combination of propositional dynamic logic and *LTL*.

Quantitative logics required for modern applications

- Droste and Gastin 2005, 2009: Weighted *MSO* logic over semirings.
- Weighted automata $\not\subseteq$ weighted *MSO* logic.
- Restricted weighted *MSO* logic = weighted automata (Büchi type theorem) but the translation is non-elementary.
- Kupferman and Lustig 2007: Weighted *LTL* over De Morgan Algebras.
- Droste and Vogler 2012: Weighted *LTL* over arbitrary bounded lattices.
- Bouyer, Markey and Matteplackel 2014, Almagor, Boker and Kupferman 2014, 2016: Weighted *LTL* over $[0, 1]$.
- Mandrali and Rahonis 2014, 2016: Weighted *LTL* over semirings.
- In this paper: Weighted *LTL* over the naturals is incomparable to weighted automata.

A alphabet

$w = w(0) \dots w(n-1) \in A^*$, with $w(i) \in A$, $0 \leq i \leq n-1$

$w_{\geq i} = w(i) \dots w(n-1)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$

- Atomic propositions: $P = \{p_a \mid a \in A\}$.

Definition

Syntax of *LDL* formulas ψ over A :

$$\begin{aligned}\psi &::= \text{true} \mid p_a \mid \neg\psi \mid \psi \wedge \psi \mid \langle\theta\rangle\psi \\ \theta &::= \phi \mid \psi? \mid \theta + \theta \mid \theta; \theta \mid \theta^+\end{aligned}$$

$p_a \in P$, ϕ propositional formula over P .

ψ LDL formula, $w \in A^*$. Define $w \models \psi$ inductively:

- $w \models \text{true}$,
- $w \models p_a$ iff $w(0) = a$,
- $w \models \neg\psi$ iff $w \not\models \psi$,
- $w \models \psi_1 \wedge \psi_2$ iff $w \models \psi_1$ and $w \models \psi_2$,
- $w \models \langle \phi \rangle \psi$ iff $w \models \phi$ and $w_{\geq 1} \models \psi$,
- $w \models \langle \psi_1? \rangle \psi_2$ iff $w \models \psi_1$ and $w \models \psi_2$,
- $w \models \langle \theta_1 + \theta_2 \rangle \psi$ iff $w \models \langle \theta_1 \rangle \psi$ or $w \models \langle \theta_2 \rangle \psi$,
- $w \models \langle \theta_1; \theta_2 \rangle \psi$ iff $w = uv$, $u \models \langle \theta_1 \rangle \text{true}$, and $v \models \langle \theta_2 \rangle \psi$,
- $w \models \langle \theta^+ \rangle \psi$ iff there exists n with $1 \leq n \leq |w|$ such that $w \models \langle \theta^n \rangle \psi$,
 θ^n , $n \geq 1$ is defined by $\theta^1 = \theta$ and $\theta^n = \theta^{n-1}; \theta$ for $n > 1$.

LDL - Main results (De Giacomo and Vardi 2013)

- *LDL* formulas = rational expressions.
 - Rational expressions $\xrightarrow{\text{linear}}$ *LDL* formulas .
 - *LDL* formulas $\xrightarrow[\text{exponential}]{\text{doubly}}$ rational expressions.
 - *LDL* formulas $\xrightarrow{\text{exponential}}$ finite automata.
- Satisfiability, validity, logical implication of *LDL* formulas: PSPACE-complete.

Weighted rational expressions

- $(K, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ semiring
- *Weighted rational expressions over A and K :*

$$E ::= ka \mid E + E \mid E \cdot E \mid E^+$$

$$a \in A, k \in K$$

- *Generalized weighted rational expressions over A and K :*

$$E ::= ka \mid E + E \mid E \cdot E \mid E^+ \mid E \odot E.$$

$$a \in A, k \in K$$

- **Semantics:** $\|E\| : A^* \rightarrow K$ *rational (g-rational)*

- $\|ka\| = ka$
- $\|E_1 + E_2\| = \|E_1\| + \|E_2\|$
- $\|E_1 \cdot E_2\| = \|E_1\| \cdot \|E_2\|$ (Cauchy product)
- $\|E^+\| = \|E\|^+$ ($\|E\|(\varepsilon) = 0$, proper)
- $\|E_1 \odot E_2\| = \|E_1\| \odot \|E_2\|$ (Hadamard product)

Atomic propositions: $P = \{p_a \mid a \in A\}$.

Definition

Syntax of weighted *LDL* formulas φ over A and K :

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi &::= k \mid \psi \mid \varphi \oplus \varphi \mid \varphi \otimes \varphi \mid \langle \rho \rangle \varphi \\ \rho &::= \phi \mid \varphi? \mid \rho \oplus \rho \mid \rho \cdot \rho \mid \rho^\oplus\end{aligned}$$

$k \in K$, ϕ propositional formula over P , ψ *LDL* formula.

Weighted LDL - Semantics

φ weighted LDL formula. *Semantics* $\|\varphi\| : A^* \rightarrow K$, for $w \in A^*$:

- $\|k\| (w) = k$,
- $\|\psi\| (w) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w \models \psi \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$,
- $\|\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2\| (w) = \|\varphi_1\| (w) + \|\varphi_2\| (w)$,
- $\|\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2\| (w) = \|\varphi_1\| (w) \cdot \|\varphi_2\| (w)$,
- $\|\langle \phi \rangle \varphi\| (w) = \|\phi\| (w) \cdot \|\varphi\| (w_{\geq 1})$,
- $\|\langle \varphi_1 ? \rangle \varphi_2\| (w) = \|\varphi_1\| (w) \cdot \|\varphi_2\| (w)$,
- $\|\langle \rho_1 \oplus \rho_2 \rangle \varphi\| (w) = \|\langle \rho_1 \rangle \varphi\| (w) + \|\langle \rho_2 \rangle \varphi\| (w)$,
- $\|\langle \rho_1 \cdot \rho_2 \rangle \varphi\| (w) = \sum_{w=uv} (\|\langle \rho_1 \rangle \text{true}\| (u) \cdot \|\langle \rho_2 \rangle \varphi\| (v))$,
- $\|\langle \rho^\oplus \rangle \varphi\| (w) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \|\langle \rho^n \rangle \varphi\| (w)$ ($\|\langle \rho \rangle \text{true}\|$ proper)

ρ^n , $n \geq 1$ is defined by $\rho^1 = \rho$ and $\rho^n = \rho^{n-1} \cdot \rho$ for $n > 1$.

Weighted LDL - Example

- LDL formula:

$$Last ::= \langle true \rangle \bigwedge_{a' \in A} \neg p_{a'}$$

$$w = w(0) \dots w(n-1) \in A^*, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-1$$

$$w_{\geq i} \models Last \text{ iff } w_{\geq i+1} \not\models p_{a'} \text{ for every } a' \in A \text{ iff } i = n-1$$

- $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$, $a \in A$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$

$$\varphi = \left\langle \left(\left(\left(\left(k \otimes p_a \right) ? \right) Last \right) ? \cdot \left(\left(\left(k \otimes p_a \right) ? \right) Last \right) ? \right)^\oplus \right\rangle true \oplus \bigwedge_{a' \in A} \neg p_{a'}$$

- $\|\varphi\|(w) = \begin{cases} k^{2n} & \text{if } w = a^{2n}, n \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Weighted LDL - Main results

- LDL -definable series = g-rational series (No fragments for $LDL!$).

- Weighted g-rational expressions $\xrightarrow{\text{linear}}$ weighted LDL formulas.

- K commutative:

LDL -definable series = rational series = recognizable series.

- Weighted LDL formulas $\xrightarrow[\text{exponential}]{\text{doubly}}$ weighted automata.

- K idempotent:

- Weighted LDL formulas $\xrightarrow{\text{exponential}}$ weighted automata.

- K computable field, φ, φ' weighted LDL formulas, $k \in K$:

$\|\varphi\| = \|\varphi'\|$, $\|\varphi\| = \tilde{k}$ (constant series): decidable in *doubly exponential* time.

Weighted LDL - Comparison to other weighted logics

- Weighted LDL and weighted LTL over the naturals are incomparable.
- Weighted LDL and weighted FO logic over the naturals are incomparable.
- K commutative:

restricted weighted MSO logic = weighted LDL ,

restricted weighted FO logic \subsetneq weighted LDL ,

restricted weighted LTL \subsetneq weighted LDL .

- K dual continuous with the Arden fixed point property:

weighted LDL = weighted \wedge -free μ -calculus.

- Modified syntax for interpretation over infinite words.

LDL- ω -definable languages = ω -rational languages.

- Satisfiability of *LDL* formulas: PSPACE-complete.

Weighted LDL over infinite words - Main results

- K totally complete semiring.
- Modified syntax for interpretation over infinite words.

LDL - ω -definable series = g - ω -rational series.

- No fragments for LDL !
- K totally commutative complete:

LDL - ω -definable series = ω -rational series = ω -recognizable series.

- K idempotent:

- Weighted LDL formulas $\xrightarrow{\text{exponential}}$ weighted Büchi automata.

Weighted LDL over infinite words - Comparison to other weighted logics

- K totally commutative complete:

restricted weighted ω -MSO logic = weighted ω -LDL,

restricted weighted ω -FO logic $\not\subseteq$ weighted ω -LDL,

restricted weighted ω -LTL $\not\subseteq$ weighted ω -LDL.

- K dual continuous semiring with the Arden fixed point property:

weighted ω -LDL = weighted ω - \wedge -free μ -calculus.

- Translation of weighted *LDL* formulas over infinite words to weighted automata (not idempotent semirings).
 - Complexity results.
- Weighted *LDL* over more general structures, reasonable for practical applications, e.g. valuation monoids.

- M. Y. Vardi, P. Wolper, Reasoning about infinite computations, *Information and Computation* 115(1994) 1–37.
- M. Y. Vardi, The rice and fall of LTL, *Presentation at GandALF 2011*.
- G. De Giacomo, M. Y. Vardi, Linear temporal logic and linear dynamic logic on finite traces, in: *Proceedings of IJCAI 2013*, pp. 854–860.
- G. De Giacomo, M. Y. Vardi, Synthesis for LTL and LDL on finite traces, in: *Proceedings of IJCAI 2015*, pp. 1558–1564.

Thank you

Ευχαριστώ